RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEB 2 (S)
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)
Revision of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules Governing the Public Mobile Services) CC Docket No. 92-115)
Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules to Delete Section 22.119 and Permit the Concurrent Use of Transmitters in Common Carrier and Non-common Carrier Service) CC Docket No. 94-46) RM 8367)
Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules Pertaining to Power Limits for Paging Stations Operating in the 931 MHz Band in the Public Land Mobile Services)) CC Docket No. 93-116)

Reply Comments of The Ericsson Corporation

The Ericsson Corporation on behalf of itself and affiliates (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Ericsson") hereby submits its reply comments with respect to a single issue in the *Report and Order* in the above-captioned proceeding.¹ In support of its reply, Ericsson states as follows:

In its Petition for Reconsideration in the above-captioned matter, Ericsson requested that the Commission reconsider Section 22.919 of the Commission's rules specifically to allow manufacturers and their authorized representatives to make changes to the software and firmware programmed into mobile transmitters as long as the mobile transmitters are able to comply with authentication standards adopted by recognized

CC Docket No. 92-115, released September 9, 1994 (hereinafter "Part 22 Rewrite").

industry standards organizations. Ericsson was, and still is, fully committed to combating the growing problem of fraud in the cellular industry. In this regard, Ericsson is aware that TIA and CTIA have discussed this matter at length over the past few weeks in an attempt to craft modifications to Section 22.919 which will prevent unauthorized tampering with ESNs without affecting the ability of manufacturers to make certain changes to the software and firmware of cellular mobile and portable terminals.

Ericsson has reviewed a recent TIA/CTIA draft of Section 22.919 which reflects agreed upon modifications to Section 22.919 and, with certain exceptions described below, supports the TIA/CTIA revised rule. Indeed, the TIA/CTIA revised rule is substantively very similar to the rule Ericsson suggested in its Petition for Reconsideration.² However, Ericsson submits that the TIA/CTIA rule is deficient only insofar as it will still prevent manufacturers, their authorized service centers and cellular carriers from making most software and firmware changes in the field.³

Based on Ericsson's understanding of TIA/CTIA revised Section 22.919, software and firmware changes to cellular mobile and portable terminals will be allowed to be made only by the manufacturer at the manufacturer's owned and operated facility. The only exception to that rule is that for cellular phones which have not yet been activated⁴ an

² Ericsson commends TIA and CTIA for engaging in good faith negotiations to come to a satisfactory resolution of the issues relating to ESNs and cellular fraud. Their efforts are similar to those Ericsson envisioned might be possible if the Commission had accepted Ericsson's recommendation to convene an Advisory Committee to negotiate rules on this issue. Ericsson also understands that TIA and CTIA have agreed to continue to discuss the matter and may submit additional information to the Commission on the ESN issue which represents an even greater industry consensus.

³ TIA/CTIA revised Sections 22.919(c)(i) and (ii).

⁴ Ericsson assumes these phones are those which have been delivered by a manufacturer to a carrier, a carrier's agent or a retailer and are sitting on a shelf waiting to be sold and then activated.

employee of the manufacturer may make certain software and firmware changes in the

field. As written, TIA/CTIA's revised Section 22.919 will prevent authorized service

centers from making changes to cellular phones in the field. The plain language would

also prevent the cellular carriers themselves from making software or firmware changes to

cellular phones in order to offer their subscribers system upgrades.

Due to the additions of subsections (d) and (e) of revised Section 22.919 agreed

upon by TIA and CTIA, Ericsson is of the opinion that the restrictions included in

subsections (c)(i) and (ii) need not be so severe that manufacturers, service centers

authorized by manufacturers and/or cellular carriers are prevented from making software

and firmware changes to cellular mobile telephones in the field.

Respectfully submitted,

The Ericsson Corporation

David C. Jatlow

Its Attorney

Young & Jatlow 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 663-9080

February 2, 1995

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa M. Volpe, hereby certify that on this 2nd day of February 1995 copies of the foregoing Reply to Opposition of The Ericsson Corporation were sent by postagepaid first class mail to the following:

William B. Barfield BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, N.W. Atlanta. GA 30309

Thomas J. Casey Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Charles P. Featherstun
BellSouth Corporation
1133 21st Street, NW., Suite 900
Washington, DC 20036

Timothy J. Fitzgibbon Carter, Ledyard & Milburn 1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 870 Washington, DC 20005

Ron Foster CellTek Corporation 4647T Hwy 280 E., Suite 260 Birmingham, AL 35242

David A. Gross Airtouch Communications, Inc. 1818 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Louis Gurman Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman 1400 16th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

M.G. Heavener MTC Communications Box 2171 Gaithersburg, MD 20886 Edwin G. Jones 6445 Prestonshire Dallas, TX 75225

Steve Jones Sound & Cell 2925 W. Navy Blvd. Pensecola, FL 32505

Andre J. Lachance GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Tom A. Lippo FACT Law-Business Group 412 First Street, S.E., Suite One Washington, DC 20003

Cathleen A. Massey
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

John Mitchell Cellular Paging Systems, Inc. 3122 West Marshall Street Richmond, VA 23230

Donald M. Mukai US West New Vector Group, Inc. 3350 - 161st Avenue, S.E. Bellevue, WA 98008

Grier C. Raclin Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, N.W., Suite 900 East Tower Washington, DC 20005 Eric J. Schimmel Telecommunications Industry Association 2500 Wilson Blvd., Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22201

M.C. Stephan 5002 Mussetter Road Ijamsville, MD 21754

L. Andrew Tollin Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn 1735 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Wayne Watts Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc. 17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A Dallas, TX 75252

Kathryn A. Zachem Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn 1735 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

.isa M. Volpe